

Prabhupadanugas not all IRM

BY: MAHESH RAJA

Dec 27, UK (SUN) — In response to "[IRM Dissolves Amongst Inflated Egos](#)".

Dear Navadvipchandra Prabhu, Your article has got many elements of truth in it. But I should like to point out - you got a photo of Kamsahanta prabhu - he is not part of Krishnakant's IRM any more. But he is still a staunch Prabhupadanuga.

One thing, there are many, many Prabhupadanugas who are not IRM members - but still promote Srila Prabhupada as the bonafide Guru and accept the Ritvik system. It seems that **some people** focus on that IRM as representing **all** Prabhupadanugas - **this is not true** as you have pointed out.

I was preaching that Srila Prabhupada is Diksa Guru at Manchester temple UK (I got kicked out by the Temple President for preaching Ritvik --I had not exactly joined in devotee clothes, etc., so to speak). This was some time **before** I came in touch with Krishnakant. I was also writing articles based on Srila Prabhupada as our Diksa guru to Vedic Village Review (Nityananda prabhu's magazine) prior to this.

In the early days I photocopied **thousands** of copies of 'Prabhupada is diksa guru' based literatures, e.g., "Betrayal" (by Puranjana), Our living Guru (by Yasodanandana), The Guru business (Sulocana), etc. etc., and posted/distributed all over UK and worldwide.

Later on I somewhat joined forces with Krishnakant, earlier days this was when IRG was formed and helped with funding, printing, distributing postings of the first original copies of Final Order, which went to Bangalore, etc. It cost me perhaps 5000 plus pounds? At that time hardly anybody would fork out money. Only one or two contributed something towards it.

Like many devotees, I had some issues with Krishnakanta, e.g., I could not accept one man controllership and keeping everything secret from many devotees. Where is the difference with GBC goon squad if we want to make a difference? I also did not accept his/IRM's stance on Srila Prabhupada's poisoning - I made this public. Why have a duplicitous stand? SRILA PRABHUPADA **WAS** POISONED. Material court judgment requires exhumation of the samadhi for proof and it is not possible for us as devotees to accept this - that's all. BUT the FACT remains SRILA PRABHUPADA **WAS** POISONED. **The way** Srila Prabhupada **refers** to the word 'poison' - the speech etc. is so obvious.

So we grew apart. So did many other devotees because of the IRM's poison stance. I am not part of his IRM, although when he writes in BTP positive articles exposing the bogus gurus, I fully support that. I do not see a problem with this.

The poisoning issue of Srila Prabhupada

<http://www.iskcon-truth.com/poison/prabhupada-poisoned-overview.html>