

# Formerly We Were with Krsna in His Lila or Sport

BY: MAHESH RAJA

**Feb 15, UK (SUN) — A reply to Srimati Pundarikaksa dasi Prabhu.**

Pundarikaksa dasi wrote:

“So I fail to understand how the fact that a demigod Brahma can fall down into Maya supports the proposal of Mahesh Raja that all the souls in the material universes have fallen down from Vaikuntha.”

In [my article](#) please read carefully: [na me \\*\\*bhaktah\\*\\* pranasyati - Bhagavad gita 9.31](#)

I have quoted Srila Prabhupada **there** who states with **irrefutable** evidence (by the way - why did you ignore this?).

Crow And Tal-Fruit Logic

Formerly We Were with Krsna in His Lila or Sport

So since FORMERLY we were with Krsna in HIS LILA we have to understand HOW from that LILA we came down to this material world.

Just to reaffirm the meaning of LILA:

SB 1.2.34 S - Divinity and Divine Service lila--pastimes

SB 2.2.12 S - The Lord in the Heart lila--pastimes

Lila in spiritual world does NOT mean HALO, neither does it mean BRAHMASAYUJYA. To say that means word jugglery/mental speculation.

So WHAT is the FIRST beginning body in this material world from this LILA?

740606BG.GEN Lectures:

"Just like father gives some capital to the son: "You do some business." Now, you lose the money or increase it hundred times; that depends on you. Similarly, Krsna has given us. We wanted to enjoy this material world, and Krsna has given us. THE FIRST BEGINNING BODY IS BRAHMA, VERY EXALTED BODY. BUT ON ACCOUNT OF OUR ABOMINABLE ACTIVITIES, FROM BRAHMA, WE COME DOWN TO BECOME THE WORM OF STOOL. This is called karma, ksetra."

Now, please chant Hare Krsna!

**Next issue:**

Pundarikaksa dasi wrote:

“And for members of the younger generation such as myself who never met Srila Prabhupada, His Divine Grace Srila Prabhupada also is a previous acarya.”

68-04-12 Letter to Dayananda:

"Regarding parampara system: **there is nothing to wonder for big gaps**. Just like we belong to the Brahma Sampradaya, so we accept it from Krishna to Brahma, Brahma to Narada, Narada to Vyasadeva, Vyasadeva to Madhva, and between Vyasadeva and Madhva there is a big gap. But it is sometimes said that Vyasadeva is still living, and Madhva was fortunate enough to meet him directly. In a similar way, we find in the Bhagavad-gita that the Gita was taught to the sungod, some millions of years ago, **but Krishna has mentioned only three names in this parampara system--namely, Vivasvan, Manu, and Iksvaku; and so these gaps do not hamper from understanding the parampara system. We have to pick up the prominent acaryas, and follow from him.** There are many branches also from the parampara system, and it is not possible to record all the branches and sub-branches in the disciplic succession. We have to pick up from the authority of the acharya in whatever sampradaya we belong to."

My questions to Pundarikaksa dasi:

- 1) Please explain in detail how is Srila Prabhupada a previous acarya and not current?
- 2) Please give name of this current "acarya" since you say Srila Prabhupada is previous acarya.
- 3) And please specify how this "acarya" is prominent. What is accomplishment?
- 4) Does anyone quote him. If not, why not?
- 5) Please give a detailed comparison of this current "acarya" with Srila Prabhupada. What did he do that makes him prominent?

Pundarikaksa dasi wrote:

"Mahesh Raja, I respect your right to express your opinion. But I strongly disagree with your thinking. The assertion that everyone should accept this interpretation of Srila Prabhupada's teachings about souls falling down from Vaikuntha, while ignoring many quotes and references where Srila Prabhupada says that nobody can fall from Vaikuntha, is improper. "

Please read answers to my article, "[\*\*Apparent Contradiction and Reconciliation\*\*](#)".

Pundarikaksa dasi wrote:

"Mahesh Raja presented the quote below, and I must admit that I find what His Divine Grace Srila Prabhupada said is very troubling."

760805BG.PAR Lectures:

**Translator:** "The question is: did we fall in this material world to find some highest pleasure? His question is: did we fall in the material world to experience something which is higher?"

**Prabhupada:** I do not follow.

**Yogesvara:** I think his question is the husband will leave the wife because he is dissatisfied. But if our love for Krsna originally is perfect why should we have left?

**Prabhupada:** YOU HAVE LEFT... JUST LIKE SOMEBODY IS DAILY EATING PURIS AND HALAVA, AND HE WANTS TO EAT PUFFED RICE. SO THAT TENDENCY IS

THERE. THAT IS ALSO A SIDE OF ENJOYMENT. "I am eating daily this, let me eat this." What is the difficulty? That tendency is there. That is also enjoyment. After all, we are hankering after enjoyment, anandamayo 'bhyasat. So different taste we desire, that "Let me taste this, let me taste that, let me taste that." So the real basic principle is enjoyment, sense enjoyment. That's all."

May be troubling for you - I do not find it troubling AT ALL.

Crow And Tal-Fruit Logic:

BECAUSE HE FALLS DOWN FROM BRAHMASAYUJYA, HE THINKS THAT MAYBE HIS ORIGIN, BUT HE DOES NOT REMEMBER THAT BEFORE THAT EVEN HE WAS WITH KRSNA.

730806BG.LON Lectures:

**"Because we have also come down from Vaikuntha some millions and millions of years ago."**

Srila Prabhupada ki Jaaaaai!